EXHIBIT A FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT 2 FILED UNDER SEAL

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs. No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;

OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,

INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GREGORY KINTZ

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 26, 2017

WAYMO & UBER CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~ CSR LICENSE NO. 9830

JOB NO. 2592507

PAGES 1 - 234

		Page 50
1	And in that Excel file, I computed the X/Y	12:08
2	separations using the Pythagorean theorem, as	12:08
3	previously discussed.	12:09
4	Q Okay. And the use of the Pythagorean theorem	12:09
5	to calculate separation, is that what you're calling	12:09
6	vertical separation in paragraph 33?	12:09
7	A The separation is the separation between the	12:09
8	individual components. The terminology of vertical,	12:09
9	yes, that is the separation between the	12:09
10	two components.	12:09
11	Q Okay. And I I just want to clarify.	12:09
12	So, earlier we were looking at the X/Y	12:09
13	coordinates for the GBr3.	12:09
14	Do you recall that?	12:09
15	A Yes.	12:09
16	Q By vertical separation, you're not talking	12:09
17	about just the delta or the difference between the Y	12:09
18	coordinates between two diodes then; is that correct?	12:09
19	A No. I was computing the both components.	12:09
20	Q Using both the X and Y coordinates?	12:09
21	A Yes.	12:10
22	Q And then there is this number	
		12:10
24	Do you see that in paragraph 33?	12:10
25	A Yes.	12:10
1		

	P	age 54
1	But let me ask you my next question, which	12:13
2	is: You also looked at the difference in angular	12:13
3	orientation as well?	12:13
4	A Correct.	12:13
5	Q And that was part of your determination as to	12:13
6	whether or not there was	
	; correct?	12:13
8	MR. JAFFE: Objection; form.	12:13
9	THE WITNESS: There is as demonstrated by	12:13
10	the information in the pick-and-place file, that there	12:13
11		
		12:14
13	MR. KIM: Q. So you could look at the	12:14
14		
		12:14
16	A The angle information by itself would not	12:14
17	yield enough data to actually produce that	12:14
18	information.	12:14
19	Q Why not?	12:14
20	A Because angle only tells you position along a	12:14
21	line or a vector, and not doesn't give you the	12:14
22	secondary intersection point that defines a point.	12:14
23	Q And so you would need to look at both the	12:14
24	difference in angular orientation, as well as the	12:14
25	difference in the X and Y coordinates; correct?	12:14

	Page	e 83
1	A That is correct.	3:42
2	Q Okay. And in order to calculate the vertical 1	3:42
3	spacing between AO and A1, how would you calculate 1	3:42
4	that using the X/Y coordinates there?	3:42
5	A The vertical spacing is related to its 1	3:42
6	position on the great circle relative to the lens.	3:42
7	And so I would take the difference in the X/Y 1	3:42
8	components and compute their separation, the 1	3:42
9	Pythagorean theorem. 1	3:42
10	Q Is that something you could do now between A0 1	3:42
11	and A1?	3:42
12	A Yes. 1	3:42
13	Q Okay. What what is the vertical 1	3:42
14	separation between those two?	3:42
15	A Do you have a calculator?	3:42
16	Q We do.	3:42
17	A Do you have a sheet of paper that I can write 1	3:42
18	on? 1	3:42
19	Q Yes. 1	3:42
20	A Do you have a pen that I can write with? 1	3:43
21	Q Sure. 1	3:43
22	A (Witness complies.)	3:43
23	I come up with with 1	3:46
24	rounding to the third significant digit. 1	3:46
25	Q And what does that number represent? 1	3:46

	Pa	age 89
1	THE WITNESS: No. With the data that you've	13:55
2	given me right here at this time, with the equipment	13:56
3	that's available to me, I cannot make a determination.	13:56
4	MR. KIM: Q. And what would you need to make	13:56
5	that determination?	13:56
6	A I would need to have information that gave me	13:56
7	the locations of the features on this board. This is	13:56
8	a fabrication document that lays out the layers of the	13:56
9	board and the relative positions of the bond pads on	13:57
10	the surface of the board, but does not really disclose	13:57
11	any information on the actual positions of the	13:57
12	components.	13:57
13	Q And the same would be true for Exhibit 1043	13:57
14	that you were just looking at before that?	13:57
15	A No. 1043 actually has component information	13:57
16	on it.	13:57
17	Q Okay. And what would you need to determine	13:57
18	whether or not the	
	for the board depicted in Exhibit 1043?	13:57
20	A Ideally, I would need a way of expanding the	13:57
21	image and creating center line information between the	13:58
22	placed components in their ideal location and the	13:58
23	adjacent one, and from that information, computing the	13:58
24	data.	13:58
25	I frequently do that type of analysis in	13:58

		Page 90
1	graphics programs. I in particular use a program	13:58
2	called CorelDRAW that allows me to do this type of	13:58
3	detailed point-by-point analysis.	13:58
4	Q And that would be for the purpose of	13:58
5	determining the X/Y coordinates and the theta?	13:58
6	A That's correct.	13:58
7	Q And you didn't do that for the board depicted	13:58
8	in Exhibit 1043; correct?	13:58
9	A That's correct.	13:58
10	Q Okay.	13:58
11	(Document marked Exhibit 1045	13:58
12	for identification.)	13:59
13	MR. KIM: I have the same question for	13:59
14	Exhibit No. 1045, which bears Bates No. Uber00008610.	13:59
15	Q Does this exhibit depict	
		13:59
17	A This document is an assembly document. So	13:59
18	the positions so the actual components should be	13:59
19	shown in the printout. And again, I would need to	13:59
20	expand the figure to make a determination of the	13:59
21	relative position.	13:59
22	Q So sitting here today, you can't tell me	13:59
23	whether or not the board depicted in Exhibit 1043 has	13:59
24		13:59
25	MR. JAFFE: 1045, I'm assuming you're asking	13:59